1 2 3 4	BRYAN CAVE LLP Allison Eckstrom (CA Bar 217255) Julie W. O'Dell (CA Bar 291083) 3161 Michelson Drive, Suite 1500 Irvine, CA 92612-4414 Telephone: (949) 223-7000 Facsimile: (949) 223-7100 E-Mail: julie.odell@bryancave.com	
5 6	Attorneys for Defendant ADECCO USA, INC.	
7	RIGHETTI – GLUGOSKI, P.C.	
8	Matthew Righetti (CA Bar 121012) John Glugoski (CA Bar 191551)	
9	Michael Righetti (CA Bar 258541) 456 Montgomery St., Ste. 1400	
10	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 983-0900 Facsimile: (415) 397-9005	
12	E-mail: matt@righettilaw.com jglugoski@righettilaw.com	
13	mike@righettilaw.com	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff KAITLYN SHEPARDSON	
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17		
	KAITLYN SHEPARDSON, individually, and on behalf of other members	Case No. 3:15-cv-05102-EMC
18	individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated,	(San Mateo Superior Court CIV 535091)
19	individually, and on behalf of other members	(San Mateo Superior Court CIV 535091) Hon. Edward M. Chen / Room 5
	individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. ADECCO USA, INC,	(San Mateo Superior Court CIV 535091) Hon. Edward M. Chen / Room 5 CLASS ACTION
19 20 21	individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. ADECCO USA, INC, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	(San Mateo Superior Court CIV 535091) Hon. Edward M. Chen / Room 5 CLASS ACTION JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE
19 20 21 22	individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. ADECCO USA, INC,	(San Mateo Superior Court CIV 535091) Hon. Edward M. Chen / Room 5 CLASS ACTION JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
19 20 21 22 23	individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. ADECCO USA, INC, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	(San Mateo Superior Court CIV 535091) Hon. Edward M. Chen / Room 5 CLASS ACTION JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE [Pursuant to Local Rules 7-12, 16.2(e)]
19 20 21 22	individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. ADECCO USA, INC, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	(San Mateo Superior Court CIV 535091) Hon. Edward M. Chen / Room 5 CLASS ACTION JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
19 20 21 22 23 24	individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. ADECCO USA, INC, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	(San Mateo Superior Court CIV 535091) Hon. Edward M. Chen / Room 5 CLASS ACTION JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE [Pursuant to Local Rules 7-12, 16.2(e)] Date: March 22, 2018 Time: 10:30 a.m. Room: 5 Complaint Filed: August 18, 2015
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. ADECCO USA, INC, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	(San Mateo Superior Court CIV 535091) Hon. Edward M. Chen / Room 5 CLASS ACTION JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE [Pursuant to Local Rules 7-12, 16.2(e)] Date: March 22, 2018 Time: 10:30 a.m. Room: 5

3:15-cv-05102 IR01DOCS\873941.1\0389659 3:1:

JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

28

1	Plaintiff Kaitlyn Shepardson ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Adecco USA, Inc. ("Adecco" or		
2	"Defendant") (collectively, the "Parties"), through their respective counsel of record, stipulate and		
3	agree as follows:		
4	WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed the proposed class action on or about August 18, 2015.		
5	Defendant removed the action to this Court and filed a Motion to Compel single plaintiff		
6	arbitration pursuant to the Dispute Resolution Agreement between the parties. The Court granted		
7	Defendant's Motion to Compel pursuant to the arbitration agreement, which contains a class		
8	action waiver.		
9	WHEREAS, after the Court ruled on the Motion to Compel in this case, the Ninth Circui		
10	Court of Appeal in <i>Morris v. Ernst & Young, LLP</i> , 834 F.3d 975 (9th Cir. 2016), held that class		
11	action waivers in arbitration agreements violate the National Labor Relations Act. The United		
12	States Supreme Court granted review of the Morris v. Ernst & Young decision. Ernst & Young,		
13	LLP v. Morris, 137 S.Ct. 809 (2017). This matter was stayed pending the Supreme Court's		
14	decision.		
15	WHEREAS, the Supreme Court held oral argument on October 2, 2017, and, as of the dat		
16	of this submission, the Supreme Court has yet to render a decision. Accordingly, the parties		
17	request a 6-week continuance of the CMC.		
18	IT IS THEREFORE STIPULATED BY THE PARTIES, THROUGH THEIR		
19	RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD that, subject to Court approval, the case management		
20	conference be continued six (6) weeks to a date after May 3, 2018.		
21	IT IS SO AGREED:		
22	Dated: March 13, 2018 RIGHETTI – GLUGOSKI, P.C.		
23	Matthew Righetti John Glugoski		
24	Michael Righetti		
25	By: <u>/s/ John Glugoski</u> John Glugoski		
26	Attorneys for Defendant		
27	KAITLYN SHEPARDSON		

28

1	Dated: March 13, 2018	BRYAN CAVE LLP
2		Allison Eckstrom
3		By: /s/Allison Eckstrom
4 5		Allison Eckstrom Attorneys for Defendant
6		ADECCO USA, INC.
7	<u>SIGNATURI</u>	E CERTIFICATION
8	I hereby certify that I have obtained co	ounsel's authorization to affix her electronic
9	signature to this document.	
10		
11		By: /s/John Glugoski John Glugoski
12		Attorneys for Defendant
13 14		KAITLYN SHEPARDSON
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
2324		
2 4 25		
26		
27		
28		

[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO GOOD CAUSE, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that the Case Management Conference, now scheduled for March 22, 2018, is continued and shall be scheduled to take place on ______May 24, 2018 ____ at ____a.m./p.m. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: ______, 2018



3:15-cv-05102 IR01DOCS\873941.1\0389659